Commissioning and Procurement at Southwark Council

Overview and Scrutiny Committee 2014

The key issues:

- How are procurement decisions made and scrutinised?
- How much political/democratic input is there?
- How open and transparent is the process?
- How do we monitor the contracts?
- Are the outcomes good enough?
- Are we getting value for money?
- What is the impact on the workforce?
- Do we need a new corporate procurement strategy?

Methods of scrutiny

- Committee interviews with officers
- Informal meetings
- Interviews with procurement officers (7th Nov)
- Contracts questionnaire
- Review of contracts register
- Review of contract terminations
- Written submissions
- External advice (John Tizard)
- HoC Select Committee report

Story so far...

- Number of contracts providing good service, but...
- Also high-profile failures
- New Southwark Procurement Strategy is needed
- Need for more political oversight
- Greater appreciation of risks of outsourcing
- Greater consideration of the workforce
- More openness and transparency
- Need for Gateway zero?

Possible recommendations?

- Democratic oversight
 - Cabinet approved strategy?
 - Written Cabinet Member approval for all contract awards?
- Improving outcomes, reducing risk
 - Gateway zero?
 - Prompt payment of sub-contractors clause?
 - Contractor whistle-blowing?
 - In-house as "preferred provider"?

Possible recommendations?

- Workforce issues
 - Southwark two-tier code?
- Social clauses
 - Bans for tax-avoidance, trade union black-listing?
- Contract monitoring
 - Provision for open book audits?

Possible recommendations?

- Transparency
 - Public contracts register?
 - Requirement for contractor to appear at scrutiny on request?
 - Publish all contracts online?
- Terminations/mutual cancellations
 - Termination at will clauses in all contracts?

More ideas?